

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Jun 4, 2023 – 10:21 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2LUL
BMRB ID	:	18526
Title	:	Solution NMR Structure of PH Domain of Tyrosine-protein kinase Tec from
		Homo sapiens, Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium (NESG) Target
		$\mathrm{HR3504C}$
Authors	:	Liu, G.; Xiao, R.; Janjua, H.; Hamilton, K.; Shastry, R.; Kohan, E.; Acton,
		T.B.; Everett, J.K.; Lee, H.; Pederson, K.; Huang, Y.J.; Montelione, G.T.;
		Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium (NESG)
Deposited on	:	2012-06-15

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


:	4.02b-467
:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
:	v1.2
:	v1.2
:	Engh & Huber (2001)
:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
:	2.33
	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 92%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	${f NMR} \; { m archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	А	164	71%	•	27%	



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 14 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core	Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mode					
1	A:15-A:25,	A:35-A:51,	0.88	14		
	A:63-A:76,	A:82-A:159				
	(120)					

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models				
1	1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20				
2	4, 17				
3	8, 18				



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 2755 atoms, of which 1382 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Tyrosine-protein kinase Tec.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	٨	164	Total	С	Η	Ν	0	S	0
	A	164	2754	876	1382	244	243	9	U

There are 10 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	MET	-	expression tag	UNP P42680
A	2	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP P42680
А	3	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P42680
А	4	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P42680
А	5	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P42680
А	6	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P42680
A	7	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P42680
А	8	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P42680
А	9	SER	-	expression tag	UNP P42680
А	10	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P42680

• Molecule 2 is ZINC ION (three-letter code: ZN) (formula: Zn).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms
2	А	1	Total Zn 1 1



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

## 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Tyrosine-protein kinase Tec

Chain A:	71%	•	27%
M1 62 63 64 64 65 86 87 87 87 81 11 11 11 11 14	E18 026 026 027 026 027 026 023 134 133 133 134 133 155 153 155 155 155 155 155 155 155	N77 D78 D79 G80 V81	C1 42 K155 E1 60 S161 S162 S162 T163 R164

# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 14. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Tyrosine-protein kinase Tec

Chain A:	66%	7%	27%	
M1 62 62 84 85 86 81 87 89 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81	E18 026 026 026 027 131 131 131 131 131 133 133 133 133 13	E56 K57 K58 K58 K59 R60 G62 G62 G71 C71 C71 C71 C71 C71 C71 C71 C71	N77 D78 D79 G80 V81 V81 M127	D137 C143 R144
<mark>υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ υ</mark>				



# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: distance geometry, simulated annealing, molecular dynamics, torsion angle dynamics.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *target function*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	refinement	
CNS	structure solution	
CNS	geometry optimization	
CYANA	refinement	3.0
CYANA	geometry optimization	3.0
CYANA	structure solution	3.0
AutoStructure	refinement	2.1
TALOS+	geometry optimization	
REDCAT	geometry optimization	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1983
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1983
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	92%



# 6 Model quality (i)

## 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: ZN

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

## 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	1008	1029	1028	$4\pm 2$
All	All	20180	20580	20560	77

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 2.

5 of 39 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:142:CYS:HB2	1:A:153:CYS:SG	0.69	2.27	2	3
1:A:71:CYS:SG	1:A:127:MET:HB2	0.59	2.38	4	4
1:A:132:PRO:HD2	1:A:153:CYS:SG	0.59	2.38	8	2
1:A:22:ILE:HD12	1:A:84:CYS:SG	0.58	2.38	3	7
1:A:132:PRO:HD2	1:A:142:CYS:SG	0.56	2.39	15	2



## 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

## 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Perce	entiles
1	А	120/164~(73%)	$110\pm2~(92\pm2\%)$	$9\pm2~(8\pm2\%)$	$1\pm1 (1\pm1\%)$	21	69
All	All	2400/3280~(73%)	2197~(92%)	182 (8%)	21 (1%)	21	69

5 of 10 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	149	LEU	8
1	А	96	ALA	3
1	А	147	GLU	2
1	А	148	LYS	2
1	А	145	GLN	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	115/154~(75%)	$110\pm2~(96\pm1\%)$	$5\pm2~(4\pm1\%)$	33 81
All	All	2300/3080~(75%)	2202 (96%)	98 (4%)	33 81

5 of 25 unique residues with a non-rotameric side chain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	18	GLU	18
1	А	155	LYS	17
1	А	73	GLU	7
1	А	144	ARG	6
1	А	129	LYS	5



## 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 1 ligands modelled in this entry, 1 is monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

## 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 92% for the well-defined parts and 84% for the entire structure.

## 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

## 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1983
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1983
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	148	$-0.07 \pm 0.08$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	144	$0.12 \pm 0.10$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C'$	132	$0.45 \pm 0.14$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
<sup>15</sup> N	132	$0.06 \pm 0.32$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 92%, i.e. 1612 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1758. 0 out of 17 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	560/590~(95%)	229/236~(97%)	223/240 (93%)	108/114~(95%)
Sidechain	908/997~(91%)	618/645~(96%)	277/312 (89%)	13/40~(32%)

Continued on next page...



Continueu	Continueu from precious page								
	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$					
Aromatic	$144/171 \ (84\%)$	73/82~(89%)	69/84~(82%)	2/5~(40%)					
Overall	1612/1758~(92%)	920/963~(96%)	569/636~(89%)	123/159~(77%)					

Continued from previous page...

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	101	ILE	HB	-0.46	0.35 - 3.22	-7.8
1	А	152	GLY	HA2	1.27	2.15 - 5.77	-7.4

## 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (1)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

## 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	3390
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	967
Sequential ( i-j =1)	772
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	328
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	1258
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	48
Disulfide bond restraints	17
Total dihedral-angle restraints	158
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	21.6
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	8.0

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

## 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	8.9	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	1.8	0.31
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



## 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	Max ( $^{\circ}$ )
1.0-10.0 (Small)	14.3	8.0
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


## 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destroints trues	Count	$\%^1$	Vie	lated	3	Consis	tently	$\overline{\mathbf{Violated}^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	967	28.5	11	1.1	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	695	20.5	9	1.3	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	272	8.0	2	0.7	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	772	22.8	21	2.7	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	159	4.7	2	1.3	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	538	15.9	15	2.8	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	75	2.2	4	5.3	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j  > 1 \&  i-j  < 5$ )	328	9.7	16	4.9	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	62	1.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	140	4.1	10	7.1	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	126	3.7	6	4.8	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	1258	37.1	44	3.5	1.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	98	2.9	1	1.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	383	11.3	15	3.9	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	777	22.9	28	3.6	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	48	1.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	17	0.5	5	29.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Total	3390	100.0	97	2.9	2.9	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	367	10.8	3	0.8	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1756	51.8	49	2.8	1.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	1267	37.4	45	3.6	1.3	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Madal ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Maan (Å)	Morr (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^{6}$ (Å)	Median (Å)
1	0	3	2	9	0	14	0.17	0.28	0.05	0.15
2	0	1	4	4	0	9	0.17	0.28	0.05	0.16
3	0	2	2	9	0	13	0.16	0.26	0.04	0.15
4	1	4	1	8	0	14	0.16	0.26	0.04	0.14
5	0	2	1	5	0	8	0.15	0.23	0.04	0.15
6	1	0	2	5	0	8	0.17	0.26	0.06	0.14
7	0	1	2	5	0	8	0.16	0.28	0.06	0.12
8	0	2	1	8	0	11	0.14	0.2	0.03	0.14
9	1	1	2	4	0	8	0.14	0.22	0.04	0.13
10	1	2	0	8	0	11	0.14	0.19	0.03	0.13
11	2	3	3	6	0	14	0.18	0.26	0.04	0.2

Continued on next page...




Madal ID		Number of violations						Mar (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^{6}$ (Å)	Median (Å)
12	2	3	1	6	0	12	0.15	0.22	0.03	0.15
13	0	3	1	6	0	10	0.17	0.31	0.06	0.16
14	0	1	1	6	0	8	0.15	0.25	0.04	0.14
15	1	0	1	3	0	5	0.15	0.21	0.03	0.15
16	0	4	0	8	0	12	0.15	0.21	0.03	0.13
17	2	4	1	3	0	10	0.17	0.31	0.06	0.16
18	0	3	2	7	0	12	0.14	0.21	0.03	0.13
19	0	2	1	13	0	16	0.17	0.27	0.05	0.16
20	0	3	1	7	0	11	0.16	0.28	0.05	0.16

Continued from previous page...

 $^1$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ S<br/>equential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,<br/>  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,<br/>  $^6$ Standard deviation





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right




## 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 3233(IR:956, SQ:751, MR:312, LR:1214, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%
11	15	12	28	0	66	1	5.0
0	1	2	7	0	10	2	10.0
0	3	0	3	0	6	3	15.0
0	1	0	3	0	4	4	20.0
0	1	1	1	0	3	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

 $^{1}$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^{2}$ Sequential restraints,  $^{3}$ Medium range restraints,  $^{4}$ Long range restraints,  $^{5}$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^{6}$  Number of models with violations






#### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(2,5)	2:A:201:ZN:ZN	1:A:131:HIS:ND1	19	0.12	0.01	0.12
(3,5)	1:A:153:CYS:SG	1:A:143:CYS:SG	16	0.19	0.05	0.19
(1,2891)	1:A:91:GLN:HB2	1:A:134:PHE:HE1	10	0.15	0.02	0.15
(1,2891)	1:A:91:GLN:HB2	1:A:134:PHE:HE2	10	0.15	0.02	0.15
(1,2891)	1:A:91:GLN:HB3	1:A:134:PHE:HE1	10	0.15	0.02	0.15
(1,2891)	1:A:91:GLN:HB3	1:A:134:PHE:HE2	10	0.15	0.02	0.15
(1,3327)	1:A:153:CYS:SG	1:A:131:HIS:ND1	9	0.16	0.04	0.15
(3,6)	1:A:142:CYS:SG	1:A:143:CYS:SG	9	0.15	0.03	0.15
(1,2683)	1:A:60:ARG:HG2	1:A:62:GLY:H	8	0.15	0.06	0.12
(1,2683)	1:A:60:ARG:HG3	1:A:62:GLY:H	8	0.15	0.06	0.12
(1,1111)	1:A:78:ASP:H	1:A:82:ILE:HD11	5	0.18	0.03	0.17
(1,1111)	1:A:78:ASP:H	1:A:82:ILE:HD12	5	0.18	0.03	0.17
(1,1111)	1:A:78:ASP:H	1:A:82:ILE:HD13	5	0.18	0.03	0.17
(1,2665)	1:A:54:ARG:HD2	1:A:59:TYR:HE1	5	0.18	0.06	0.15
(1,2665)	1:A:54:ARG:HD2	1:A:59:TYR:HE2	5	0.18	0.06	0.15
(1,2665)	1:A:54:ARG:HD3	1:A:59:TYR:HE1	5	0.18	0.06	0.15

Continued on next page...



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,2665)	1:A:54:ARG:HD3	1:A:59:TYR:HE2	5	0.18	0.06	0.15
(1,3328)	1:A:142:CYS:SG	1:A:131:HIS:ND1	5	0.15	0.02	0.16
(1,2844)	1:A:82:ILE:HG21	1:A:83:PRO:HG2	5	0.13	0.02	0.12


Continued from previous page...

<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

## 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,2683)	1:A:60:ARG:HG2	1:A:62:GLY:H	13	0.31
(1,2683)	1:A:60:ARG:HG3	1:A:62:GLY:H	13	0.31

Continued on next page...



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,1162)	1:A:115:LYS:HA	1:A:115:LYS:HD2	17	0.31
(3,5)	1:A:153:CYS:SG	1:A:143:CYS:SG	1	0.28
(1,309)	1:A:162:SER:HA	1:A:163:ILE:H	2	0.28
(1,2762)	1:A:70:LYS:HD2	1:A:125:ASN:HB2	20	0.28
(1,2762)	1:A:70:LYS:HD2	1:A:125:ASN:HB3	20	0.28
(1,2762)	1:A:70:LYS:HD3	1:A:125:ASN:HB2	20	0.28
(1,2762)	1:A:70:LYS:HD3	1:A:125:ASN:HB3	20	0.28
(1,1364)	1:A:111:LEU:HA	1:A:114:LYS:HB2	7	0.28
(1,1765)	1:A:70:LYS:HA	1:A:126:ILE:HG21	19	0.27
(1,1765)	1:A:70:LYS:HA	1:A:126:ILE:HG22	19	0.27
(1,1765)	1:A:70:LYS:HA	1:A:126:ILE:HG23	19	0.27
(3,5)	1:A:153:CYS:SG	1:A:143:CYS:SG	3	0.26
(3,5)	1:A:153:CYS:SG	1:A:143:CYS:SG	6	0.26
(1,2665)	1:A:54:ARG:HD2	1:A:59:TYR:HE1	6	0.26

Continued from previous page...



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


## 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count $\%^1$		Vie				Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	
PHI	79	50.0	26	32.9	16.5	0	0.0	0.0	
PSI	79	50.0	39	49.4	24.7	0	0.0	0.0	
Total	158	100.0	65	41.1	41.1	0	0.0	0.0	

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

## 10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




## 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations		$M_{oop}$ (°)		SD (°)	Median (°)		
Model ID	PHI	PSI	Total	Mean ( $^{\circ}$ )	Max (°)	$SD(^{\circ})$		
1	6	9	15	2.59	6.0	1.42	2.2	
2	8	9	17	2.53	5.7	1.45	1.9	
3	6	10	16	2.88	7.1	1.5	2.7	
4	9	6	15	2.78	5.6	1.48	2.2	
5	7	10	17	2.4	4.9	1.02	2.1	
6	5	9	14	2.56	5.3	1.29	2.35	
7	8	3	11	2.28	4.9	1.28	1.6	
8	7	13	20	2.41	6.0	1.18	2.05	
9	8	11	19	2.67	8.0	1.63	2.6	
10	6	10	16	2.76	6.4	1.47	2.2	
11	4	7	11	2.11	3.6	0.85	1.9	
12	1	6	7	3.93	4.7	0.65	4.3	
13	5	9	14	2.62	5.1	1.36	2.05	
14	4	8	12	2.66	6.2	1.55	2.1	
15	6	9	15	2.27	6.8	1.46	1.9	
16	3	6	9	2.0	2.9	0.49	2.0	
17	6	10	16	2.48	8.0	1.63	1.85	
18	8	6	14	2.71	6.8	1.51	2.25	
19	4	8	12	2.12	4.4	0.87	1.9	
20	5	12	17	3.02	4.8	1.02	3.0	





10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)

The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

## 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	ber o	f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble			
PHI	PSI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%		
8	17	25	1	5.0		
4	2	6	2	10.0		
3	1	4	3	15.0		
1	5	6	4	20.0		
3	3	6	5	25.0		
0	3	3	6	30.0		
2	1	3	7	35.0		
0	0	0	8	40.0		
0	2	2	9	45.0		
0	0	0	10	50.0		
3	0	3	11	55.0		

Continued on next page...



9T	ΤI	Т
211	U	$\mathbf{L}$

Continueu front pretious page								
Num	ber o	of violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble					
PHI	PSI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%				
1	1	2	12	60.0				
1	1	2	13	65.0				
0	1	1	14	70.0				
0	2	2	15	75.0				
0	0	0	16	80.0				
0	0	0	17	85.0				
0	0	0	18	90.0				
0	0	0	19	95.0				
0	0	0	20	100.0				


Continued from previous page...


 $^{1}$  Number of models with violations



PHI

PSI






#### 10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{SD}^2$	Median
(1,44)	1:A:46:SER:N	1:A:46:SER:CA	1:A:46:SER:C	1:A:47:MET:N	15	3.53	1.93	3.4
(1,148)	1:A:130:TYR:N	1:A:130:TYR:CA	1:A:130:TYR:C	1:A:131:HIS:N	15	2.32	1.19	2.0
(1,94)	1:A:92:VAL:N	1:A:92:VAL:CA	1:A:92:VAL:C	1:A:93:VAL:N	14	3.11	1.2	2.95
(1,53)	1:A:50:TYR:C	1:A:51:TYR:N	1:A:51:TYR:CA	1:A:51:TYR:C	13	4.61	2.04	4.7
(1,56)	1:A:52:GLU:N	1:A:52:GLU:CA	1:A:52:GLU:C	1:A:53:GLY:N	13	3.02	1.28	2.7
(1,47)	1:A:47:MET:C	1:A:48:LEU:N	1:A:48:LEU:CA	1:A:48:LEU:C	12	2.62	0.93	2.3
(1,86)	1:A:76:LYS:N	1:A:76:LYS:CA	1:A:76:LYS:C	1:A:77:ASN:N	12	2.49	0.99	2.2
(1,63)	1:A:62:GLY:C	1:A:63:PHE:N	1:A:63:PHE:CA	1:A:63:PHE:C	11	3.2	1.68	2.2
(1,37)	1:A:42:VAL:C	1:A:43:LEU:N	1:A:43:LEU:CA	1:A:43:LEU:C	11	2.33	1.23	2.4
(1,17)	1:A:23:LYS:C	1:A:24:ARG:N	1:A:24:ARG:CA	1:A:24:ARG:C	11	1.63	0.45	1.4


<sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



## 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

## 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation ( $^{\circ}$ )
(1,53)	1:A:50:TYR:C	1:A:51:TYR:N	1:A:51:TYR:CA	1:A:51:TYR:C	9	8.0
(1,44)	1:A:46:SER:N	1:A:46:SER:CA	1:A:46:SER:C	1:A:47:MET:N	17	8.0
(1,20)	1:A:25:SER:N	1:A:25:SER:CA	1:A:25:SER:C	1:A:26:GLN:N	3	7.1
(1,53)	1:A:50:TYR:C	1:A:51:TYR:N	1:A:51:TYR:CA	1:A:51:TYR:C	15	6.8
(1,53)	1:A:50:TYR:C	1:A:51:TYR:N	1:A:51:TYR:CA	1:A:51:TYR:C	18	6.8
(1,53)	1:A:50:TYR:C	1:A:51:TYR:N	1:A:51:TYR:CA	1:A:51:TYR:C	10	6.4
(1,63)	1:A:62:GLY:C	1:A:63:PHE:N	1:A:63:PHE:CA	1:A:63:PHE:C	14	6.2
(1,60)	1:A:60:ARG:N	1:A:60:ARG:CA	1:A:60:ARG:C	1:A:61:LYS:N	8	6.0
(1,44)	1:A:46:SER:N	1:A:46:SER:CA	1:A:46:SER:C	1:A:47:MET:N	1	6.0
(1,44)	1:A:46:SER:N	1:A:46:SER:CA	1:A:46:SER:C	1:A:47:MET:N	2	5.7

