

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Jun 5, 2023 – 12:40 AM EDT

PDB ID	:	2LR2
BMRB ID	:	18126
Title	:	Designed IgG and lanthanide binding probe for solution NMR, MRI and lu-
		minescence microscopy
Authors	:	Barb, A.W.; Ho, T.G.; Prestegard, J.H.
Deposited on	:	2012-03-21

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 37%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive		
	$(\# { m Entries})$	$(\# { m Entries})$		
Clashscore	158937	12864		
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451		
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428		

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	А	88	64%	19%	•	14%



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 2 models. Identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible.



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1159 atoms, of which 559 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Immunoglobulin G-binding protein A.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace	
1	٨	76	Total	С	Н	Ν	Ο	0
	70	1159	367	559	103	130	0	




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

## 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein A



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein A





# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 2 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
xplor-NIH	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	369
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	366
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	3
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	37%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain E RMSZ		ond lengths	Bond angles		
			#Z > 5	RMSZ	#Z > 5	
1	А	$1.72 {\pm} 0.02$	$4{\pm}2/608~(~0.7{\pm}~0.2\%)$	$0.97 {\pm} 0.01$	$3{\pm}0/818~(~0.4{\pm}~0.0\%)$	
All	All	1.72	9/1216~(~0.7%)	0.97	6/1636~(~0.4%)	

5 of 6 unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	$\operatorname{ain}$ Res Type Atoms Z Observed(Å)		Ideal(Å)	Models				
MOI	Unam	nes	Type	$[\mathbf{PPe}   \mathbf{Atoms}   \mathbf{Z}   \mathbf{Observed}(\mathbf{A})]$	Ideal(A)	Worst	Total		
1	А	52	TYR	C-N	6.87	1.49	1.34	1	2
1	А	67	SER	N-CA	6.51	1.59	1.46	1	2
1	А	65	GLU	CD-OE1	-5.46	1.19	1.25	2	2
1	А	68	GLY	N-CA	5.11	1.53	1.46	1	1
1	А	52	TYR	CA-C	5.07	1.66	1.52	1	1

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mal	Mol Chain		Trune	a Atoma	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models			
	Chain	$\mathbf{Res}$	Type	Atoms	<b>Z</b> Observed(		Z Observed(*		Ideal(*)	Worst	Total
1	А	18	PHE	C-N-CA	-5.63	107.62	121.70	1	2		
1	А	52	TYR	CA-C-N	5.46	129.22	117.20	1	2		
1	А	52	TYR	N-CA-CB	-5.10	101.42	110.60	1	2		

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

# 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.



Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	600	559	556	$22 \pm 0$
All	All	1200	1118	1112	44

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 19.

5 of 29 unique clashes are listed	below, sorted by their	clash magnitude.
-----------------------------------	------------------------	------------------

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Moo	dels
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:43:PHE:O	1:A:47:LEU:HD23	1.04	1.51	2	1
1:A:62:GLU:OE2	1:A:65:GLU:OE1	0.95	1.83	1	2
1:A:22:GLN:OE1	1:A:69:SER:O	0.84	1.95	1	1
1:A:76:ALA:O	1:A:80:LYS:HG3	0.68	1.87	1	1
1:A:62:GLU:OE2	1:A:62:GLU:O	0.65	2.14	2	2

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	74/88~(84%)	$65 \pm 1 \ (88 \pm 1\%)$	$8\pm2~(11\pm2\%)$	0±0 (1±1%)	26 73
All	All	148/176~(84%)	130 (88%)	17 (11%)	1 (1%)	26 73

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	70	GLN	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent side chain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the side chain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.



Mol	Chain	Analysed Rotameric		Outliers	Perce	ntiles
1	А	64/75~(85%)	$62\pm0$ (97±0%)	2±0 (3±0%)	43	88
All	All	128/150~(85%)	124 (97%)	4 (3%)	43	88

All 3 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	62	GLU	2
1	А	18	PHE	1
1	А	66	LEU	1

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 37% for the well-defined parts and 37% for the entire structure.

## 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	369
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	366
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	3
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. All 3 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Bos	Typo	Atom		Shift Data	a
	Ullalli	nes	туре	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	А	12	SER	С	175.01	0.1	1
1	А	12	SER	CA	58.43	0.2	1
1	А	12	SER	CB	64.01	0.2	1

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	77	$0.26 \pm 0.06$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	73	$0.94 \pm 0.10$	Should be checked
$^{13}C'$	73	$-0.30 \pm 0.10$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}N$	73	$0.29 \pm 0.31$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)



#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 37%, i.e. 366 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 993. 0 out of 9 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	294/380~(77%)	73/154 (47%)	148/152~(97%)	73/74~(99%)
Sidechain	72/548~(13%)	0/347~(0%)	72/176~(41%)	0/25~(0%)
Aromatic	0/65~(0%)	0/31~(0%)	0/32~(0%)	0/2~(0%)
Overall	366/993~(37%)	73/532~(14%)	220/360~(61%)	73/101 (72%)


#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	0
Intra-residue $( i-j =0)$	0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	113
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	0
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	0

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

# 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation. There are no distance restraints

### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins ( $^{\circ}$ )	Average number of violations per model	Max $(^{\circ})$
1.0-10.0 (Small)	18.5	9.6

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Bins ( $^{\circ}$ )	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	9.0	18.8
>20.0 (Large)	1.0	26.5



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

No distance restraints data found



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


# 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

	Count	$\%^1$				Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	57	50.4	15	26.3	13.3	13	22.8	11.5
PSI	56	49.6	15	26.8	13.3	14	25.0	12.4
Total	113	100.0	30	26.5	26.5	27	23.9	23.9

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




# 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (0)	Median (°)	
Model ID	PHI	PSI	Total Mean (°) Max (		max ()	SD ( )	Median ()	
1	14	15	29	9.36	26.5	6.06	7.9	
2	14	14	28	8.32	26.5	6.16	6.7	

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

# 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	ber o	f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble		
PHI	PSI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%	
2	1	3	1	50.0	

Continued on next page...




$\alpha$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$	C		
Continued	from	previous	page
		1	1 0

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble		
PHI	PSI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%	
13	14	27	2	100.0	

<sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

#### 10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




### 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$Models^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{SD}^2$	Median
(1,29)	1:A:47:LEU:C	1:A:48:LYS:N	1:A:48:LYS:CA	1:A:48:LYS:C	2	26.5	0.0	26.5
(1,23)	1:A:41:ASN:C	1:A:42:ALA:N	1:A:42:ALA:CA	1:A:42:ALA:C	2	18.8	0.0	18.8
(1,2)	1:A:19:ASN:C	1:A:20:LYS:N	1:A:20:LYS:CA	1:A:20:LYS:C	2	15.4	0.0	15.4
(1,107)	1:A:81:LEU:N	1:A:81:LEU:CA	1:A:81:LEU:C	1:A:82:ASN:N	2	14.8	0.0	14.8
(1,1)	1:A:66:LEU:C	1:A:67:SER:N	1:A:67:SER:CA	1:A:67:SER:C	2	14.75	0.65	14.75
(1,78)	1:A:41:ASN:N	1:A:41:ASN:CA	1:A:41:ASN:C	1:A:42:ALA:N	2	14.55	0.05	14.55
(1,53)	1:A:82:ASN:C	1:A:83:ASP:N	1:A:83:ASP:CA	1:A:83:ASP:C	2	14.5	0.0	14.5
(1,84)	1:A:47:LEU:N	1:A:47:LEU:CA	1:A:47:LEU:C	1:A:48:LYS:N	2	13.55	0.05	13.55
(1,103)	1:A:77:GLU:N	1:A:77:GLU:CA	1:A:77:GLU:C	1:A:78:ALA:N	2	10.65	0.05	10.65
(1,96)	1:A:70:GLN:N	1:A:70:GLN:CA	1:A:70:GLN:C	1:A:71:SER:N	2	9.95	8.85	9.95


<sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree ( $^{\circ}$ )

### 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

#### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





#### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation ( $^{\circ}$ )
(1,29)	1:A:47:LEU:C	1:A:48:LYS:N	1:A:48:LYS:CA	1:A:48:LYS:C	1	26.5
(1,29)	1:A:47:LEU:C	1:A:48:LYS:N	1:A:48:LYS:CA	1:A:48:LYS:C	2	26.5
(1,96)	1:A:70:GLN:N	1:A:70:GLN:CA	1:A:70:GLN:C	1:A:71:SER:N	1	18.8
(1,23)	1:A:41:ASN:C	1:A:42:ALA:N	1:A:42:ALA:CA	1:A:42:ALA:C	1	18.8
(1,23)	1:A:41:ASN:C	1:A:42:ALA:N	1:A:42:ALA:CA	1:A:42:ALA:C	2	18.8
(1,2)	1:A:19:ASN:C	1:A:20:LYS:N	1:A:20:LYS:CA	1:A:20:LYS:C	1	15.4
(1,2)	1:A:19:ASN:C	1:A:20:LYS:N	1:A:20:LYS:CA	1:A:20:LYS:C	2	15.4
(1,1)	1:A:66:LEU:C	1:A:67:SER:N	1:A:67:SER:CA	1:A:67:SER:C	1	15.4
(1,107)	1:A:81:LEU:N	1:A:81:LEU:CA	1:A:81:LEU:C	1:A:82:ASN:N	1	14.8
(1,107)	1:A:81:LEU:N	1:A:81:LEU:CA	1:A:81:LEU:C	1:A:82:ASN:N	2	14.8

