

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Jun 4, 2023 – 03:22 AM EDT

PDB ID : 2LMS BMRB ID : 18135

Title: A single GalNAc residue on Threonine-106 modifies the dynamics and the

structure of Interferon alpha-2a around the glycosylation site

Authors : Ghasriani, H.; Belcourt, P.J.F.; Sauve, S.; Hodgson, D.J.; Gingras, G.; Brochu,

D.; Gilbert, M.; Aubin, Y.

Deposited on : 2011-12-12

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Mogul : 1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020)

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &: & v1.2 \\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &: & v1.2 \\ \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 90%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$egin{array}{c} { m NMR \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$		
Clashscore	158937	12864		
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451		
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428		

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain					
1	A	166	50%	31%	5%	13%	•	



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 2 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: fewest violations.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues							
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model				
1	A:3-A:38, A:49-A:156 (144)	0.41	2				

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 13, 14, 15, 20
2	4, 7, 11, 12, 17
3	9, 19
Single-model clusters	5; 10; 16; 18



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 2722 atoms, of which 1358 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.


• Molecule 1 is a protein called Interferon alpha-2.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	Λ	165	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	A	165	2694	860	1344	227	254	9	U

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	0	MET	-	initiating methionine	UNP P01563

• Molecule 2 is 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-alpha-D-galactopyranose (three-letter code: A2G) (formula: C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>6</sub>).



Mol	Chain	Residues		$\mathbf{At}$	oms			
9	Λ	1	Total	С	Н	N	О	
	А	1	28	8	14	1	5	




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

#### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Interferon alpha-2



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 2. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Interferon alpha-2





#### 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: torsion angle dynamics.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the least restraint violations.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	2.1
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	2106
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	2106
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	90%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: A2G

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

# 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	1175	1188	1188	35±5
2	A	14	14	11	0±0
All	All	23780	24040	23980	703

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 15.

5 of 138 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:17:LEU:HD22	1:A:80:LEU:HD21	0.91	1.42	19	2	
1:A:17:LEU:HD12	1:A:80:LEU:HD21	0.88	1.43	4	7	
1:A:53:ILE:N	1:A:54:PRO:HD2	0.75	1.96	17	20	
1:A:17:LEU:HD23	1:A:80:LEU:HD21	0.74	1.58	9	3	
1:A:60:ILE:HG22	1:A:92:LEU:HD23	0.71	1.60	20	12	



## 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Perce	ntiles
1	A	144/166 (87%)	123±2 (85±1%)	20±2 (14±1%)	1±1 (1±1%)	26	73
All	All	2880/3320 (87%)	2451 (85%)	408 (14%)	21 (1%)	26	73

All 4 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	37	GLY	8
1	A	34	HIS	7
1	A	35	ASP	5
1	A	104	GLY	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles		
1	A	132/153 (86%)	100±3 (76±3%)	32±3 (24±3%)	2	26	
All	All	2640/3060 (86%)	2006 (76%)	634 (24%)	2	26	

5 of 73 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	3	LEU	20
1	A	6	THR	20
1	A	23	LYS	20
1	A	24	ILE	20
1	A	53	ILE	20



#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

# 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

1 ligand is modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mal	Tune	Chain	Peg	Link		gths	
MIOI	туре	Chain	nes	Link	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	A2G	A	500	1	14,14,15	$1.22 \pm 0.00$	2±0 (14±0%)

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mol	Type	Chain	Pos	Link	Bond angles		
WIOI	туре	Chain	rtes	LIIIK	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	A2G	A	500	1	17,19,21	$1.27 \pm 0.00$	$3\pm0 \ (17\pm0\%)$

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means



no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
2	A2G	A	500	1	-	$0\pm0,6,23,26$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Pos	Type	Atoma	$oxed{Z} oxed{ ext{Observed(Å)}} oxed{ ext{Ideal(Å)}}$		Models		
MIOI	Chain	nes	Туре	Atoms		Observed(A)	Ideal(A)	Worst	Total
2	A	500	A2G	O6-C6	2.96	1.29	1.42	16	20
2	A	500	A2G	C1-C2	2.24	1.55	1.52	2	20

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mal	Chain	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$Observed(^o)$	Ideal(0)	Models			
10101	Chain	nes	туре	Atoms	L	Observed(')	ideal(*)	Worst	Total
2	A	500	A2G	C6-C5-C4	2.70	106.69	113.00	10	20
2	A	500	A2G	O3-C3-C4	2.45	104.68	110.35	15	20
2	A	500	A2G	O3-C3-C2	2.10	113.82	109.47	15	20

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique torsion outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Models (Total)
2	A	500	A2G	O7-C7-N2-C2	1

There are no ring outliers.

## 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

# 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 90% for the well-defined parts and 90% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	2106
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	2106
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	7

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction} \pm {\rm precision},  ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	165	$-0.45 \pm 0.09$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	160	$0.45 \pm 0.08$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
<sup>13</sup> C′	159	$-0.33 \pm 0.08$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{15}N$	160	$-0.06 \pm 0.33$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )

## 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 90%, i.e. 1847 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2057. 0 out of 26 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	711/716 (99%)	288/288 (100%)	283/288 (98%)	140/140 (100%)
Sidechain	1015/1171 (87%)	693/763 (91%)	311/363 (86%)	11/45 (24%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	121/170 (71%)	69/84 (82%)	50/81 (62%)	2/5 (40%)
Overall	1847/2057 (90%)	1050/1135 (93%)	644/732 (88%)	153/190 (81%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	75	ALA	HB1	-0.29	0.14 - 2.58	-6.7
1	A	75	ALA	HB2	-0.29	0.14 - 2.58	-6.7
1	A	75	ALA	HB3	-0.29	0.14 - 2.58	-6.7
1	A	150	SER	HB2	2.18	2.61 - 5.13	-6.7
1	A	62	GLN	HB2	0.51	0.80 - 3.29	-6.2
1	A	106	THR	СВ	79.54	61.12 - 78.27	5.7
1	A	76	TRP	HE1	6.77	6.88 - 13.28	-5.2

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	2486
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	547
Sequential $( i-j =1)$	659
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	657
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	623
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	15.0
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	3.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

## 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

# 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	14.2	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	4.2	0.49
>0.5 (Large)	2.7	2.1



## 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Dantuninta tema	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vic	olated <sup>5</sup>	3	Consis	tentl	$\overline{ m y~Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$ \%^1$	Count	$ \%^2 $	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	547	22.0	16	2.9	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	9	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	453	18.2	15	3.3	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	85	3.4	1	1.2	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	659	26.5	16	2.4	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	172	6.9	6	3.5	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	378	15.2	7	1.9	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	109	4.4	3	2.8	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	657	26.4	27	4.1	1.1	1	0.2	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	176	7.1	7	4.0	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	313	12.6	12	3.8	0.5	1	0.3	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	168	6.8	8	4.8	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	623	25.1	40	6.4	1.6	1	0.2	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	7	0.3	1	14.3	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	250	10.1	23	9.2	0.9	1	0.4	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	366	14.7	16	4.4	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	2486	100.0	99	4.0	4.0	2	0.1	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	364	14.6	14	3.8	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1394	56.1	57	4.1	2.3	2	0.1	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	728	29.3	28	3.8	1.1	0	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	M (8)	M (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
1	2	2	5	8	0	17	0.19	0.69	0.13	0.16
2	4	2	5	8	0	19	0.16	0.35	0.07	0.13
3	1	1	4	7	0	13	0.2	0.49	0.11	0.13
4	2	0	8	7	0	17	0.16	0.36	0.07	0.12
5	2	2	9	14	0	27	0.38	1.32	0.42	0.15
6	2	2	6	8	0	18	0.17	0.34	0.06	0.14
7	4	1	4	14	0	23	0.36	1.44	0.34	0.2
8	0	2	5	11	0	18	0.24	1.09	0.24	0.15
9	1	1	7	8	0	17	0.26	0.94	0.24	0.14
10	4	4	7	15	0	30	0.34	2.1	0.45	0.15
11	1	2	1	10	0	14	0.34	0.98	0.27	0.26

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$ m MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	(A)	Median (A)
12	3	1	5	16	0	25	0.19	0.56	0.11	0.13
13	1	0	3	10	0	14	0.27	0.92	0.25	0.16
14	2	1	4	16	0	23	0.37	1.28	0.35	0.17
15	3	2	5	12	0	22	0.51	1.63	0.54	0.16
16	5	7	7	11	0	30	0.17	0.58	0.1	0.13
17	4	2	4	11	0	21	0.15	0.25	0.04	0.14
18	2	4	4	12	0	22	0.18	0.48	0.1	0.14
19	2	2	4	14	0	22	0.44	1.53	0.46	0.18
20	6	3	6	16	0	31	0.35	1.6	0.41	0.15

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

## 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



#### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 2387(IR:531, SQ:643, MR:630, LR:583, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
6	9	9	10	0	34	1	5.0
1	4	7	6	0	18	2	10.0
6	0	1	4	0	11	3	15.0
1	1	2	2	0	6	4	20.0
0	0	1	2	0	3	5	25.0
1	0	3	2	0	6	6	30.0
0	0	0	4	0	4	7	35.0
0	0	2	2	0	4	8	40.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	9	45.0
0	0	1	1	0	2	10	50.0
0	1	0	1	0	2	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	14	70.0
1	0	0	1	0	2	15	75.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	16	80.0
0	0	0	2	0	2	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	1	1	0	2	20	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




# 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

## 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,343)	1:A:22:ARG:HD2	1:A:26:LEU:H	20	0.31	0.04	0.3
(1,343)	1:A:22:ARG:HD3	1:A:26:LEU:H	20	0.31	0.04	0.3
(1,702)	1:A:50:ALA:HA	1:A:99:VAL:HB	20	0.25	0.03	0.25
(1,1537)	1:A:102:GLY:HA2	2:A:500:A2G:H81	17	0.78	0.52	0.69
(1,1942)	1:A:130:LEU:HD11	1:A:139:ALA:HB1	17	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1942)	1:A:130:LEU:HD11	1:A:139:ALA:HB2	17	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1942)	1:A:130:LEU:HD11	1:A:139:ALA:HB3	17	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1942)	1:A:130:LEU:HD12	1:A:139:ALA:HB1	17	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1942)	1:A:130:LEU:HD12	1:A:139:ALA:HB2	17	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1942)	1:A:130:LEU:HD12	1:A:139:ALA:HB3	17	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1942)	1:A:130:LEU:HD13	1:A:139:ALA:HB1	17	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1942)	1:A:130:LEU:HD13	1:A:139:ALA:HB2	17	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,1942)	1:A:130:LEU:HD13	1:A:139:ALA:HB3	17	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,974)	1:A:64:PHE:HA	1:A:85:TYR:HD1	16	0.13	0.02	0.12
(1,974)	1:A:64:PHE:HA	1:A:85:TYR:HD2	16	0.13	0.02	0.12
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG11	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	0.75	0.42	0.6

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG12	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	0.75	0.42	0.6
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG13	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	0.75	0.42	0.6
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG21	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	0.75	0.42	0.6
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG22	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	0.75	0.42	0.6
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG23	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	0.75	0.42	0.6
(1,616)	1:A:43:PHE:H	1:A:43:PHE:HE1	15	0.14	0.02	0.15
(1,616)	1:A:43:PHE:H	1:A:43:PHE:HE2	15	0.14	0.02	0.15
(1,1560)	1:A:105:VAL:HA	2:A:500:A2G:H81	14	0.75	0.51	0.68
(1,714)	1:A:50:ALA:HB1	2:A:500:A2G:H81	11	0.89	0.4	0.97
(1,714)	1:A:50:ALA:HB2	2:A:500:A2G:H81	11	0.89	0.4	0.97
(1,714)	1:A:50:ALA:HB3	2:A:500:A2G:H81	11	0.89	0.4	0.97
(1,2312)	1:A:161:LEU:HA	1:A:162:ARG:H	11	0.12	0.01	0.12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

# 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

## 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,1537)	1:A:102:GLY:HA2	2:A:500:A2G:H81	10	2.1
(1,1560)	1:A:105:VAL:HA	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.63
(1,1537)	1:A:102:GLY:HA2	2:A:500:A2G:H81	20	1.6
(1,1578)	1:A:106:THR:HB	2:A:500:A2G:H81	10	1.59
(1,1560)	1:A:105:VAL:HA	2:A:500:A2G:H81	19	1.53
(1,1578)	1:A:106:THR:HB	2:A:500:A2G:H81	7	1.44
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG11	2:A:500:A2G:H81	19	1.43
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG12	2:A:500:A2G:H81	19	1.43
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG13	2:A:500:A2G:H81	19	1.43
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG21	2:A:500:A2G:H81	19	1.43
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG22	2:A:500:A2G:H81	19	1.43
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG23	2:A:500:A2G:H81	19	1.43
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG11	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.41
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG12	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.41
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG13	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.41
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG21	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.41
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG22	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.41
(1,1566)	1:A:105:VAL:HG23	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.41
(1,714)	1:A:50:ALA:HB1	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.4
(1,714)	1:A:50:ALA:HB2	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.4
(1,714)	1:A:50:ALA:HB3	2:A:500:A2G:H81	15	1.4
(1,1560)	1:A:105:VAL:HA	2:A:500:A2G:H81	20	1.4



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

Dihedral angle analysis failed due to data error in the dihedral angle restraints, possibly missing target value

